

a color synthesizing optical system that receives the modulated color light beams and outputs enlarged synthesized color light beams which are projected by the projection lens; and

a [reflector]reflecting mirror disposed in an optical path between the color separating optical system and at least one of the plurality of modulators and having adjustable mounting angle with respect to an incident optical axis.

28. (Amended) The projector of claim 27, wherein a mounting angle of the [reflector]reflecting mirror located closest to the modulator is adjustable.

REMARKS

Claims 1-52 are pending. By this Amendment, claims 2-4, 7-9, 21-23 and 26-28 are amended, with changes underlined. A new Substitute and Supplemental Reissue Declaration is attached. Reconsideration based on the above amendments and following remarks is respectfully requested.

Applicants thank Examiner Dowling for the courtesy extended to Applicants' representative, Mr. Luo, during the August 16, 2004 telephone interview. The substance of the telephone interview is incorporated in the following remarks.

The Examiner is respectfully requested to consider the references submitted with the August 10, 2004 Information Disclosure Statement, and return an initialed copy of the Form PTO-1449 submitted with the August 10, 2004 Information Disclosure Statement. For the Examiner's convenience, a copy of the August 10, 2004 Information Disclosure Statement and Form PTO-1449 is enclosed.

The Office Action objects to the February 26, 2003 Amendment, asserting that the Amendment does not contain the marked-up changes in the claims.

The claims amended in the February 26, 2003 Amendment are re-submitted in the present Amendment, with all changes marked up by underlining the added text and placing

deleted text in brackets. Applicants respectfully submit that the marking up of the changes in the amended claims satisfies all formal requirements.

The Office Action rejects claims 1-52 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent No. RE 38,084. A Terminal Disclaimer is filed herewith to overcome this rejection. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 1-52 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claim 1-52 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Gang Luo
Registration No. 50,559

JAO:GXL/sqb

Attachments:

Substitute and Supplemental Reissue Declaration
Terminal Disclaimer
Copy of August 10, 2004 IDS and Form PTO-1449

Date: October 28, 2004

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

<p>DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461</p>
--